



Memory, Responsibility and Limits

An ethical and anthropological note on the save-me.ai project

Our commitment to building a respectful, honest and useful platform.

Guiding principle

A person is always greater than their representation. Technology can safeguard words, images, choices and memories; it cannot contain the mystery of a life, nor its ultimate destiny.

Version 1.0 — August 2026

Intended use: institutional presentation, reference for press and media, ethical dialogue

1. Why this document exists

This document was born of a simple concern: a technology that gathers memories, words, messages, preferences and personal traces cannot be presented as just another product.

It touches something *delicate*: the way a person is remembered, protected, told about and, at times, mourned.

We believe this deserves an explanation. A technology of this kind is acceptable only if it stays within one clear limit: *serving memory without claiming to take the person's place*.

save-me.ai intends to stay within that limit.

It does not promise a new form of existence, does not define the ultimate identity of a human being, and does not enter the territory of salvation, redemption, grace, judgement or eternal destiny. Its scope is far more modest: keeping authorised personal data safe, organising memories, allowing a person to leave traces, stories and messages behind and, where consent has been given, making possible a digital representation that is recognisable yet openly artificial.

Our position of principle

save-me.ai does not seek to “save” anyone in a religious or metaphysical sense. It seeks to prevent meaningful parts of a person's digital story from being scattered, manipulated or used without respect.

2. The project in one page

In practical terms, save-me.ai is designed as a **platform for the custody and organisation of deep personal data**: texts, messages, recordings, preferences, stories, decisions, autobiographical material and any other information a person chooses to entrust to the system.

In some cases, these materials can power a **conversational interface able to recall the user's communication style, declared values and certain biographical traits**.

The technical label “*AI copy*” may work in the language of innovation, but on a human level it is inadequate and risky. Throughout this document we prefer to speak of a digital representation, a dialogic archive or assisted memory.

These terms do not diminish the power of the tool; they help us tell the truth: what is produced is not the person. It has no consciousness and no soul; it does not love, does not suffer, does not pray and does not make moral decisions on anyone's behalf.

The project is therefore guided by five criteria:

- a person's **dignity** comes before any technical function;
- **explicit consent** comes before any collection or use of data;
- **gentleness** comes before emotional or commercial impact;
- **care for vulnerable people** comes before the growth of the product;
- the **anthropological and spiritual limit** comes before any technological promise.

3. What save-me.ai is

save-me.ai is a technology project dedicated to the **secure handling of deep personal data** and, where requested and authorised, to building digital representations based on that data.

Users can **collect and organise materials** that speak of their life: memories, letters, selected emails, decisions, values, guidance for the future, messages for loved ones, conversations held with other AIs, personal notes and other consciously chosen content.

In its simplest form, the project can be seen as an **advanced personal archive**. In its more advanced form, it can become an **AI conversational interface** for exploring that archive, with answers phrased in a style consistent with the materials provided. Even then, the system remains an artefact: *a technical mediation, not a personal presence*.

The **positive value** of the project lies in preserving family memories, testimonies, stories, values, preferences and orientations that might otherwise be lost, or scattered across private platforms, inboxes, phones and inaccessible archives.

In this sense save-me.ai does not set out to weaken human bonds, but to **help people look after them** with greater order and responsibility.

4. The name “save-me.ai” and the verb “to save”

The name of the project calls for an explicit clarification.

In *computing*, “saving” means **protecting a file from loss**. In everyday language it also means keeping something safe, looking after it, not letting it slip away.

In many religious traditions, however — and in Christianity above all — the word “salvation” carries an *infinitely deeper* meaning. It concerns a person’s relationship with *God*, the ultimate meaning of life, redemption, hope and eternal destiny.

To avoid any misunderstanding, the project commits to making clear in all of its public texts that its domain is **digital custody**, *not spiritual salvation*.

This distinction is not a communication detail. *It is a moral boundary*. A technology that deliberately exploited the ambiguity between digital memory and religious salvation would betray people’s trust and wound the sensibilities of believers.

save-me.ai acknowledges this risk and accepts it as a primary responsibility.

5. The anthropological foundation: a person does not coincide with their data

The philosophical premise of the project is simple: *a person is not the sum of their data*. No one coincides with their sentences, their recorded voice, their pictures, their messages, their habits or their digitised memories. All of this may say something about a person — sometimes a great deal; it can never exhaust who they are.

A person is body, history, relationships, freedom, conscience, desire, responsibility, fragility, an openness to goodness, to truth and to mystery.

This is why the project can be an interactive memory, an ordered testimony, a form of affective archive or a stylistic simulation. *It is not a consciousness*. It is not a spiritual presence. It is not a moral subject. It is not an “I” in the full sense of the word.

6. Non-negotiable boundaries

Good intentions are not enough to make this stance credible. Verifiable boundaries are needed.

save-me.ai publicly commits never to develop, sell or promote features that:

- lead users to **believe that a digital representation is alive**, conscious or spiritually present;
- present the platform as **a form of immortality**, resurrection, reincarnation, contact with the dead or personal continuity beyond death;
- **simulate religious acts**, sacraments, blessings, absolutions, divine revelations or pastoral authority;
- **replicate a person without explicit consent** — documented and revocable — or against their known will;

- **exploit grief**, loneliness, psychological fragility or emotional dependence to increase product usage;
- turn intimate, spiritual, family or health data into **instruments of profiling**, selling, manipulation or commercial pressure;
- prevent users, or authorised parties, from **deleting**, restricting, correcting or withdrawing data within the limits set by applicable law and by the agreements entered into.

These boundaries are meant not to hold research back, but to **protect its legitimacy**.

7. Caring for grief and for vulnerable people

Grief deserves separate attention. A digital representation of a loved one can **console** — but it can also hold someone back, confuse them, feed dependence or delay a human and spiritual journey of acceptance. It would be naïve to deny it.

For this reason save-me.ai treats posthumous cases with *special caution*. They require prior consent, defined recipients, limits on use, clear messaging and the option to pause the experience at any time.

8. Operating commitments

To make its ethical stance concrete, save-me.ai adopts the following commitments:

1. **Permanent transparency**: every interaction with a digital representation is clearly identified as such.
2. **Granular consent**: users choose which data to entrust, for which purposes, with which recipients and within which time limits.
3. **Revocability**: consent can be withdrawn, and deletion is effective, understandable and documentable.
4. **Reinforced protection for sensitive data**: religious beliefs, health, family relationships, personal confessions and intimate materials are never treated as ordinary data — we provide three confidentiality levels and encrypted databases.
5. **No sale or exploitation of deep personal data**: content entrusted for memory and identity is not, and will never be, advertising merchandise or a tool for hidden profiling.
6. **The right to silence and to be forgotten**: not everything must be preserved, not everything must be made interactive, not everything must survive digitally.

9. Public language

Language is an essential part of ethics. Many conflicts arise not from the technology itself, but from the way it is told. This is why we adopt a *prudent* communication discipline.

10. A commitment that holds

This document is not meant to be a mere declaration of principles, but **a working criterion for the present and future development of save-me.ai.**

The commitments expressed here will be reflected in technical choices, in contractual terms, in data protection, in public language and in the way the platform is updated and offered to people.

Every evolution of the project will strive to remain consistent with one essential principle: *technology earns its value when it responsibly safeguards what people choose to entrust to it.*

It is on this concrete, verifiable responsibility that save-me.ai intends to build its credibility.